Case 3:24-cv-08114-SMB Document 1-1 Filed 06/10/24 Page 2 of 25

Person Filing: Edward Schoeler

Address (if not protected): 5040 E Shea Boulevard Suite 272

City, State, Zip Code: Scottsdale, AZ 85254

Telephone: (602)551-8999

Email Address: edward@fernandezwattslaw.com

Representing [□] Self or [図] Attorney for:

Lawyer's Bar Number: 027593, Issuing State: AZ

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN YAVAPAI COUNTY

Case Number: S1300CV202400442

Name of Plaintiff	_
	SUMMONS
AND	
DesCorre Desidential Control and Control	
ResCare Residential Services LLC	_

WARNING: This is an official document from the court that affects your rights. Read this carefully.

If you do not understand it, contact a lawyer for help.

FROM THE STATE OF ARIZONA TO: ResCare Residential Services LLC

Name of Defendant

- 1. A lawsuit has been filed against you. A copy of the lawsuit and other court papers are served on you with this "Summons".
- 2. If you do not want a judgment or order taken against you without your input, you must file an "Answer" or a "Response" in writing with the court and pay the filing fee. If you do not file an "Answer" or "Response" the other party may be given the relief requested in his/her Petition or Complaint. To file your "Answer" or "Response" take, or send, the "Answer" or "Response" to Clerk of the Superior Court, or electronically file your Answer through one of Arizona's approved electronic filing systems at http://www.azcourts.gov/efilinginformation. Mail a copy of your "Response" or "Answer" to the other party at the address listed on the top of this Summons. Note: If you do not file electronically you will not have electronic access to the document in this case.

- 3. If this "Summons" and the other court papers were served on you by a registered process server or the Sheriff, within the State of Arizona, your "Response" or "Answer" must be filed within TWENTY (20) CALENDAR DAYS from the date you were served, not counting the day you were served. If this "Summons" and the other papers were served on you by a registered process server or the Sheriff outside the State of Arizona, your Response must be filed within THIRTY (30) CALENDAR DAYS from the date you were served, not counting the day you were served. Service by a registered process server or the Sheriff is complete when made. Service by Publication is complete thirty (30) days after the date of the first publication.
- 4. You can get a copy of the court papers filed in this case from the Petitioner at the address at the top of this paper, or from the Clerk of the Superior Court.
- 5. Requests for reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities must be made to the office of the judge or commissioner assigned to the case, at least ten (10) judicial days before your scheduled court date.
- 6. Requests for an interpreter for persons with limited English proficiency must be made to the office of the judge or commissioner assigned to the case at least ten (10) judicial days in advance of your scheduled court date.

SIGNED AND SEALED this date: May 3, 2024

DONNA McQUALITY
Clerk of Superior Court

By: EDENISON
Deputy Clerk



Case 3:24-cv-08114-SMB Document 1-1 Filed 06/10/24 Page 5 of 25

FILED
DONNA McQUALITY
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
05/03/2024 6:58PM
BY: EDENISON
DEPUTY

Case No.: S1300CV202400442 HON. JOHN NAPPER

Person/Attorney Filing: Edward Schoeler

Mailing Address: 5040 E Shea Boulevard Suite 272

City, State, Zip Code: Scottsdale, AZ 85254

Phone Number: (602)551-8999

E-Mail Address: edward@fernandezwattslaw.com [\subseteq] Representing Self, Without an Attorney

(If Attorney) State Bar Number: 027593, Issuing State: AZ

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

Garrett Baxter Plaintiff(s),

Case No.

v.

ResCare Residential Services LLC Defendant(s).

CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION

I certify that I am aware of the dollar limits and any other limitations set forth by the Local Rules of Practice for the Yavapai County Superior Court, and I further certify that this case IS NOT subject to compulsory arbitration, as provided by Rules 72 through 77 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this

By: Edward Schoeler /s/ Plaintiff/Attorney for Plaintiff

	Case 3:24-cv-08114-SMI	B Document 1-1	Filed 06/10/24	Page 7	Of 25 FILED DONNA MeQUALITY CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT 05/03/2024 6:58PM BY: EDENISON DEPUTY Case No.: \$1300CV202400442 HON. JOHN NAPPER
1	Shayna Fernandez Watts ((027342)			
2	Edward Schoeler (027593 FERNANDEZ WATTS L)			
2	5040 E. Shea Blvd. Ste. 2	72			
3	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 Phone: (602) 760-5100	•			
4	Fax: (602) 760-5130 Shayna@FernandezWatts	Law.com			
5	Shayna@FernandezWatts Edward@FernandezWatts Attorneys for Plaintiff	Law.com			
6	morneys for 1 tanting				
7	IN THE SUP	ERIOR COURT (OF THE STATE	OF AR	IZONA
8	IN A	ND FOR THE CO	OUNTY OF YAY	VAPAI	
9	GARRETT BAXTER,		No.		
10	P	laintiff,	COMPLAINT		
11	v.		COMPLAINT		
12	RESCARE RESIDENT	IAL SERVICES,	(Jury Trial Red	quested)	
13	LLC; JOHN AND JAI XYZ CORPORATIONS	NE DOES 1-10;	Tier 2		
14	LIMITED LIABILITY 10;	COMPANIES 1-			
	10,				
15	Γ	efendants.			
16	71 : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :		1	. 1 . 5	
17		_	olaint against Dei	endant R	ResCare Residential
18	Services, LLC states as fo				
19	THE PARTIES :	JURISDICTION,	VENUE, AND I	<u>ISCOV</u>	ERY TIER
20	1. Plaintiff G	arrett Baxter ("Pla	aintiff") is a res	sident of	Yavapai County,
21	Arizona.				
22	2. Defendant,	ResCare Residentia	al Services, LLC	("Rescar	e" or "Defendant"),
23	is a foreign for-profit corp	ooration doing busi	ness in Yavapai (County, A	Arizona.
24	3. The acts an	d events on which?	Plaintiff's claims	against I	Defendant are based
25	occurred in Yavapai Cou	nty, Arizona.			
26	4. This Court	has jurisdiction und	der A.R.S. § 12-1	23.	

2	
3	

- 5. Venue is proper under A.R.S. § 12-401.
- 6. Plaintiff avers this is a tier 2 case.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiff began working full time as a Direct Care Professional for ResCare on September 11, 2023.
- 8. Plaintiff's job involved providing emotional and physical support, monitoring, and training for the development of life skills in assisted living facilities to persons with developmental disabilities.
- 9. Plaintiff was promised a \$5,000.00 signing bonus and was paid \$15.00 per hour.
- 10. Plaintiff received very minimal training for his position, including a one-day course mandated by the Arizona Department of Economic Security for the prevention of harm and support of persons with developmental disabilities, as required by Title 6, Chapter 6, Article 9 of the Arizona Administrative Code.
- 11. On or about October 9, 2023, less than one month after Plaintiff was hired, he was assigned to work alone at ResCare's Queen's House location which is a Nursing-Supported Group Home according to the Arizona Department of Economic Security.
- 12. Because Plaintiff was a recent hire with minimal training, ResCare was required by law to assign another experienced and trained Direct Care Professional to be present for each of Plaintiff's shift.
- 13. Plaintiff reported to his supervisor he had been scheduled to work alone at the facility and that he had not received the required training to do so.
- 14. Instead of providing Plaintiff with the support he requested to perform his duties safely and legally, Defendant assigned him to work alone at Queen's House again, the very next day on October 10, 2023.
 - 15. During this shift, a patient became physically violent causing Plaintiff to

sustain multiple injuries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 16. These injuries resulted from the patient punching, clawing, biting, and throwing objects.
- 17. During the patient's outburst, Plaintiff called his supervisor asking for assistance and backup and directions on how to handle the incident which he followed.
 - 18. Another employee, "A.J." eventually arrived, as did a supervisor, "Don."
- 19. Once A.J. and Don were assisting with the patient, Plaintiff was permitted to wash his wounds and seek medical care.
- 20. Plaintiff went to Urgent Care where he completed worker's compensation paperwork and was treated for his injuries and bite wound.
- 21. The next day, Plaintiff completed an incident report as required by law and some additional worker's compensation paperwork, which he turned in, to Scruggs.
- 22. Plaintiff reported he should not have been alone during his shift and that he was placed in an unsafe position by Defendant which endangered him and patients.
- 23. Plaintiff reported that there were insufficient resources to safely care for the patients at Queen's House.
- 24. After completing his written incident report, Plaintiff also called Defendant's company hotline to report the incident and his concerns about the staffing and safety at Queen's House.
- 25. The next day, Plaintiff was called by Kevin Simpson ("Simpson"), the Executive Manager for Defendant, to discuss the incident. Plaintiff relayed his concerns and answered all Simpson's questions about the incident.
- 26. On or about October 12, 2023, Plaintiff called Shelley Scruggs to learn his work assignment for the day and was told he was being placed on administrative leave. Scruggs did not mention that the leave was to be unpaid.
 - On or about October 16, 2023, Plaintiff contacted Human Resources to 27.

1 discuss his unpaid leave and spoke to his worker's compensation claim adjuster to 2 discuss his claim and provide details of the incident.

- 28. On or about October 31, 2023, Plaintiff called Human Resources three times and asked to speak to his Human Resources representative Jennifer Radtke ("Radtke") to determine the status of his employment.
- 29. Plaintiff was never connected to Radtke but was advised an investigation was ongoing.
- 30. Plaintiff left several voicemails with Human Resources asking for status updates.
- 31. On or about November 17, 2023, Plaintiff received a call from Radtke who advised his employment was being terminated, shifting the responsibility and blame to Plaintiff and in retaliation for Plaintiff's complaints and use of worker's compensation.
- 32. Defendant has not paid Plaintiff for his administrative leave, nor has it paid the remainder of Plaintiff's signing bonus he received when hired by the company.

COUNT I

Wrongful Termination of Whistleblower

- 33. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the forgoing paragraphs.
- 34. Plaintiff is entitled to whistleblower protection under A.R.S. § 23-1501(A)(3)(c)(ii) because he reported multiple violations of Arizona law to Defendant.
- 35. Plaintiff's reported violations by Defendant include, but are not limited to violation of Arizona Administrative Code Title 6, Chapter 6, R-6-803(C) and R6-6-808(E), (F), (G), (H), and (J); Arizona Administrative Code Title 9, Chapter 9, R9-10-2208(A)(1)(c), R9-10-2203(C)(2), R9-10-2206(B), and R9-10-2210(C)(1).
- 36. Defendant had the ability to investigate the information provided and to take action to prevent further violations of Arizona law.

- 37. Defendant instead, terminated Plaintiff in retaliation for reporting these violations of Arizona law.
- 38. Plaintiff was injured by the Defendant's acts of retaliation for whistleblowing, when Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered past and future wage loss, loss of benefits, emotional distress, anxiety, and other employment benefits and job opportunities in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 40. Plaintiff is also entitled to compensatory damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT II

Breach of Implied Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the forgoing paragraphs.
- 42. Defendant had a duty to deal with Plaintiff in good faith with respect to his training, his supervision, the safety, and support needs to conduct his duties, his Workman's Compensation claim and in its investigation of the workplace incident at Queen's House.
- 43. Defendant breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in the at-will employment contract it had with Plaintiff by not following or enforcing the law, by failing to objectively and properly investigate the workplace incident at Queen's House, and by retaliating against him for taking appropriate emergency measures and for reporting safety concerns.
- 44. Defendants dealt with Plaintiff unfairly and in bad faith and breached their duty to act in good faith with respect to Plaintiff.
- 45. As a result of Defendants' breach of their duty to act in good faith towards Plaintiff, Plaintiff suffered damages.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

46.	Pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-341 and 12-341.01, because this matter arises or
of a contrac	ct, Plaintiff is also entitled to recover from Defendants his attorneys' fees an
costs incurr	red in bringing this action.

COUNT III

Violation of the Arizona Employment Protection Act

- 47. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the forgoing paragraphs.
- 48. Plaintiff engaged in a protected activity when he was injured on the job and sought workers' compensation benefits.
- 49. Plaintiff suffered an adverse employment action when Defendants terminated him.
- 50. There is a causal link between Plaintiff's protected activity and the adverse employment action.
- 51. Defendant does not have legitimate, non-retaliatory reasons for its actions its actions were a violation of Arizona law.
- 52. Any reasons that Defendant may proffer are merely pretext for the underlying retaliatory motive.
- 18 53. As a result of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

COUNT IV

Violations of the Fair Wages and Healthy Families Act: Failure to Pay Wages

- 54. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the forgoing paragraphs.
- 55. Defendant promised Plaintiff a \$5,000.00 signing bonus when he began his employment with Defendant.
 - 56. Defendant failed to pay Plaintiff the entire bonus he earned by beginning

L	his emp	loyment	with	Detend	lan
---	---------	---------	------	--------	-----

- 57. Under A.R.S. §23-353(A), an employer must pay an employee discharged from its service wages due to him within seven days of discharge.
 - 58. Defendant failed to pay wages due and owing to Plaintiff.
- 59. Defendants' failure to pay monies owed was intentional and done in bad faith.
- 60. Under A.R.S. §23-355(A), Plaintiff is entitled to an amount equal to treble the unpaid wages.

COUNT V

Negligence; Negligence Per Se; Gross Negligence; Negligent Supervision

- 61. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in the forgoing paragraphs.
- 62. Defendants had a duty to provide a safe, suitable and amicable workplace for their employees, including Plaintiff.
- 63. Defendant had a duty to provide Plaintiff with adequate training, supervision and support in order for him to effectively, safely and lawfully carry out his assigned duties and respond to emergencies.
- 64. Defendant had a duty to properly staff its facilities with trained personnel and to ensure an effective staff to client ratio.
- 65. Defendant had a duty to properly brief Plaintiff to the specific needs and treatment plans of the clients living at Queen's House, including their individualized health and safety needs.
- 66. Defendant had a duty to ensure that Plaintiff's skills and knowledge were verified and documented and that he was demonstrably capable of handling an emergency using physical intervention techniques *before* assigning him solo shifts at Queen's House.

1	67.	Plaintiff warned Defendant that he had not received adequate training or
2	experience to	carry out his work assignments and duties safely and lawfully and that he
3	required add	itional staffing and support while at Queen's House.
4	68.	Defendant breached its duties to Plaintiff.
5	69.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has
6	suffered injur	y and damages and is entitled to all direct, consequential, and compensatory
7	damages arisi	ng from Defendants' actions.
8	70.	Defendants acted intentionally, recklessly, and with an evil mind towards
9	Plaintiff as s	et forth in this complaint that an award of punitive damages in at least the
0	amount of Pl	aintiffs' actual damages is appropriate.
1		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Plaint	iff demands a trial by jury on all issues and claims so triable.
13		RELIEF REQUESTED
4	WHEREFO	RE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:
5	A.	Judgment in Plaintiffs' favor on all counts;
6	B.	Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
17	C.	Punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial;
8	D.	Award pre and post judgment interest at the highest rate allowed by law;
9	E.	Award all recoverable damages, in an amount to be proven at trial;
20	F.	Award punitive and/or exemplary damages on all claims under which such
21	damages are	e legally available in an amount to be determined at trial;
22	G.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to, A.R.S.§§ 12-341, 12-
23	341.01, the	Service Agreement, and/or other applicable law; and
24	H.	For such other monetary, equitable and declaratory relief as the Court
25	deems just a	and proper.

		•
1	1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of	May, 2024.
2		
3	FERNA	NDEZ WATTS LAW PLLC
4	4	
5	5 /s/ <u>Edwa</u> 5040 E.	ard Schoeler Shea Blvd. Ste. 272
6	Scottsda	ale, Arizona 85254 ys for Plaintiff
7	1	ys for I fameni
8	ORIGINAL e-filed with the Clerk of the Court this 3rd day of May, 2024, through the Turbo Court system.	
9	' 	
10	0 /s/Tiffany Fernandez	
11	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	

		l
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	ı I	

LAW OFFICES SHERMAN & HOWARD L.L.C.

2555 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 1050 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016 TELEPHONE: (602) 240-3000 FAX: (602) 240-6600 (AZ BAR FIRM NO. 00441000)

John Alan Doran (AZ Bar No. 012112)

(JDoran@ShermanHoward.com)

Emily Girkins (AZ Bar No. 038637)

(EGirkins@ShermanHoward.com)

Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI

Garrett Baxter, an individual.,

Plaintiff,
v.

ResCare Residential Services LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. S1300CV202400442

NOTICE OF FILING NOTICE OF REMOVAL

(Assigned to Hon. John Napper)

Please take notice that on this 10th day of June, 2024, Defendant ResCare Residential Services LLC ("ResCare"), by its undersigned counsel, filed its Notice of Removal in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, for the above-styled cause from the Superior Court, in and for Yavapai County, Arizona. A true copy of the Notice of Removal (exclusive of exhibits) is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

ResCare hereby files a copy of the Notice of Removal with the Clerk of the District Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d). Pursuant to § 1446(d), the Yavapai County Superior Court may not proceed further with this matter.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 10th day of June, 2024.

SHERMAN & HOWARD L.L.C.

By:	/s/John Alan Doran
	John Alan Doran
	Emily Girkins
	2555 E. Camelback Road, Suite 1050
	Phoenix, Arizona 85016
	Attorneys for Defendant

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	ORIGINAL electronically filed this 10 th day of June, 2024:
3	this 10 day of Julie, 2024.
4	COPY of the foregoing emailed and mailed this 10 th day of June, 2024 to:
5	uns 10 day e1 vane, 2021 te.
6	Shayna Fernandez Watts Edward Schoeler
7	Fernandez Watts Law PLLC
8	5040 E. Shea Blvd., Suite 272 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
9	shayna@fernandezwattslaw.com edward@fernandezwattslaw.com
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	/s/Lori Hinkel
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

58817824.1 2

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

LAW OFFICES SHERMAN & HOWARD L.L.C.

2555 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 1050 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016

TELEPHONE: (602) 240-3000 FAX: (602) 240-6600

(AZ BAR FIRM NO. 00441000)

John Alan Doran (AZ Bar No. 012112)

(<u>JDoran@ShermanHoward.com</u>) Emily Girkins (AZ Bar No. 038637)

(EGirkins@ShermanHoward.com)

Attorneys for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Garrett Baxter, an individual.,
Plaintiff,
v.

ResCare Residential Services LLC,

Defendant.

Case No.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a)

(Yavapai County Superior Court Case No. S1300CV202400442)

Defendant ResCare Residential Services LLC ("ResCare") by and through undersigned counsel, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441(a) and (b) based on diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, hereby gives notice of removal of this action from the Superior Court for the State of Arizona, in and for the County of Yavapai, to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. The grounds for this removal are as follows:

- 1. On May 3, 2024, Plaintiff Garrett Baxter filed this action in Yavapai County Superior Court under Case No. S1300CV202400442, captioned as *Baxter v. ResCare Residential Services, LLC*, (the "State Court Action").
- 2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) and LRCiv. 3.6(a) and (b), undersigned counsel hereby verifies that **Exhibits 1 through 5** attached hereto are true and complete copies of all documents and pleadings filed in the State Court Action and a copy of the docket in the State Court Action as of this date:
 - I. Exhibit 1: Summons
 - II. Exhibit 2: Certificate of Compulsory Arbitration

58817932.2

26

27

28

- III. Exhibit 3: Complaint
- IV. Exhibit 4: Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal
- V. Exhibit 5: State Court Docket
- 3. Plaintiff served Defendant with the Summons and the Complaint in the State Court Action on May 15, 2024. *See* Pl.'s Compl, **Exhibit 3**. This Notice of Removal is filed within the time limits set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

I. <u>Diversity Jurisdiction.</u>

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Complaint because of diversity of citizenship pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § 1332.
 - 5. Diversity jurisdiction exists in this matter, in that:
 - (a) Plaintiff, Garrett Baxter, is a citizen of Arizona;
 - (b) Defendant ResCare is a limited liability company formed in the state of Kentucky which maintains its principal place of business in Louisville, Kentucky.
- 6. Additionally, the amount in controversy herein exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). That is because Plaintiff seeks, *inter alia*, back pay and front pay, the value of lost benefits and bonus payments, medical expenses, and punitive damages, *see* Pl.'s Compl., **Exhibit 3** ¶¶ A-H, if Plaintiff were to prevail at trial. Plaintiff has previously represented to Defendant that the value of his claim to punitive damages alone is potentially \$300,000.00.
- 7. Because Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interests and costs, this Court has original jurisdiction over the State Court Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and the State Court Action is removeable to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C §§ 1441 and 1446(b).
- 8. By filing this Notice of Removal, Defendant does not waive any defenses available at law, in equity, or otherwise.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	9.	Venue is	proper	in the	United	States	District	Court	for 1	the I	Distric	t o
Arizona	pursua	nt to 28 U	J.S.C. §	1441((a) becau	ise the	Yavapai	Count	y Suj	perio	or Cou	rt is
located v	within t	he Distric	et of Ariz	ona.								

- 10. Counsel for Defendant hereby certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) that this Notice of Removal filed on behalf of Defendant is signed pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 11. Counsel for Defendant further certifies pursuant to LRCiv. 3.6(a) that a Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal, a true and correct copy of which is attached as **Exhibit 4**, has been filed with the Clerk of the Yavapai County Superior Court on behalf of Defendant.
- 12. Based on the foregoing, the State Court Action is properly removed to this Court.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 10th day of June, 2024.

SHERMAN & HOWARD L.L.C.

By: /s/John Alan Doran
John Alan Doran
Emily Girkins
2555 E. Camelback Road, Suite 1050
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
Attorneys for Defendant

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	ORIGINAL electronically filed
3	this 10 th day of June, 2024:
4	COPY of the foregoing emailed and mailed this 10 th day of June, 2024 to:
5	
6	Shayna Fernandez Watts Edward Schoeler
7	Fernandez Watts Law PLLC
8	5040 E. Shea Blvd., Suite 272 Scottsdale, AZ 85254
9	shayna@fernandezwattslaw.com edward@fernandezwattslaw.com
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	/s/Lori Hinkel
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Public Access to Court Information - Case Search

Case Information

Case Number: S-1300-CV-202400442

 Title:
 GARRETT BAXTER vs RESCARE RESIDENTIAL SERVICES LLC
 Category:
 CIVIL

 Court:
 Yavapai County Superior
 Filing Date:
 5/3/2024

Judge: Disposition Date:

GARRETT BAXTER PLAINTIFF - P1

Case Activity

Date	Description	Party
5/17/2024	SERVICE: AFFIDAVIT	D1
5/6/2024	Case Initiated - EFILING	D1
5/6/2024	ARBITRATION: CERTIFICATE OF COMPULSORY ARBITRATION - IS NOT	P1
5/6/2024	Case Initiated - EFILING	P1
5/6/2024	COMPLAINT: Complaint	P1
5/6/2024	INDICATOR: DISCOVERY TIER 2	P1
5/6/2024	Receipt# E000821112 generated for the amount of \$ 218.00	P1
5/6/2024	Receivable Created For \$218.00	P1
5/6/2024	SUMMONS: SUMMONS	P1

Document Search

For access to criminal and civil court documents in the Superior Court visit the eAccess portal. For more information about the eAccess portal please visit: https://www.azcourts.gov/eaccess.

NOTES

Internet Explorer 10 Users: Case details will not display properly unless you switch to Compatibility View. How?

The following case types are excluded from search results: sealed cases, cases involving Orders of Protection, mental health and probate cases, victim and witness data. Juvenile incorrigible/delinquency case information also cannot be viewed on this website; however other types of cases in which juveniles are parties, such as traffic cases, may be displayed. Certain administrative functions carried out by superior court clerk's offices in each county are not included in this website, such as passport application processing and private process server registration. Charges stemming from local ordinance violations are not included.

Please be aware of the following limitations of the case records displayed:

- � The information may not be a current, accurate, or complete record of the case.
- آذًا The information is subject to change at any time.
- $12\frac{1}{2}$ The information is not the official record of the court.
- � Not all cases from a participating court may be included.
- ii. 1/2 The information should not be used as a substitute for a thorough background search of official public records.

The user is responsible for verifying information provided on this website against official court information filed at the court of record. Use of this website shall indicate agreement by the user that the Arizona judiciary, including its courts, divisions, officers, and employees, shall not be liable for any loss, consequence, or damage resulting directly or indirectly from the use of any of the information available through this website and that the Arizona judiciary does not provide any warranty, express or implied, that the information provided is accurate, current, correct, or complete.

Data available on this web site is updated frequently and can be provided via electronic media for an annual subscription fee. If interested, please Contact Us.

Case info is updated on this website weekly. Information is updated each Friday to reflect case information through the Wednesday of the same week.